UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA SAVANNAH DIVISION

IP MILE	10	ΑA		10	
T. K	U	1	/		
RK SO. DI	\$ 11)	F	GA.	į.	

KENNETH NEAL RADFORD,)		
Movant,)		
v.)	Case No.	CV416-144 CR408-082
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)		C1 v 400-002
Respondent.)		

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Petitioner Kenneth Radford, proceeding *pro se*, moves for a second time under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 to vacate the Armed Career Criminal Act (ACCA) enhancement applied to his 2009 sentence for possession of a firearm by a convicted felon. His motion was denied, and the Court declined to issue a Certificate of Appealability. Docs. 58 (report and recommendation denying motion), *adopted*, doc. 64. Radford appealed anyway, docs. 66-67, and he has asked this Court for permission to proceed with his appeal *in forma pauperis*. Doc. 67.

An appeal cannot be taken in forma pauperis if the trial court certifies that the appeal is not taken in good faith. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3); see Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(3) (trial court may certify that

appeal of party proceeding in forma pauperis is not taken in good faith "before or after the notice of appeal is filed"). A party does not proceed in good faith when he seeks to advance a frivolous claim or argument. Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438, 445 (1962); Neitzke v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319, 327 (1989) (a claim or argument is frivolous when it appears the factual allegations are clearly baseless or the legal theories are indisputably meritless); Napier v. Preslicka, 314 F.3d 528, 531 (11th Cir. 2002) (an in forma pauperis action is frivolous and, thus, not brought in good faith, if it is "without arguable merit either in law or fact").

The Court reiterates its prior ruling on the merits in advising that Radford's § 2255 motion should be denied and no Certificate of Appealability should be issued. See Doc. 58. Movant's arguments that that he no longer qualifies as an Armed Career Offender after Johnson v. United States, 576 U.S. __, 135 S. Ct. 2551 (2015) and Mathis v. United Staes, 579 U.S. __, 136 S. Ct. 2243 (2016), have been considered and denied. Docs. 58, 64. There are no non-frivolous issues to raise on appeal, and an appeal would not be taken in good faith. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3). See Neitzke, 490 U.S. at 327; Napier, 314 F.3d at 531.

Thus, the Court should **DENY** movant's application for *in forma* pauperis status on appeal.

SO REPORTED AND RECOMMENDED, this 972 day of November, 2016.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA